

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/721,967	11/21/2003	Andrew Jay Skoog	13DV-14152 (07783-0136)	6994	
7590 06/06/2005			EXAMINER		
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC			WALCZAK, DAVID J		
100 Pine Street					
P.O. Box 1166			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Harrisburg, PA 17108-1166			3751		
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2009	DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/721,967	SKOOG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David J. Walczak	3751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS , cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed D) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 N</u>	ovember 2003					
<u> </u>	<u>, </u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction and/or example.	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Appl rity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•					
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A 1 1 1 2 2 2 2	WAR (DTO 440)				
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Sumi Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/721,967 Page 2

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

1. Claims 1-14, drawn to a cleaning device, classified in class 401, subclass

183.

II. Claims 15-21, drawn to a method of cleaning a component of a gas

turbine engine, classified in class 401, subclass 195.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The

inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be

practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as

claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §

806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and

materially different process such as the process of cleaning an element other than an

engine component.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have

acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject

matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

species of the claimed invention: Species I: Figure 1 and

Species II: Figure 2.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are considered to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Walczak whose telephone number is 571-272-5895. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on 571-272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David J. Walczak Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751